UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,572	12/22/2003	Gerard H. ROUSSEAU	117421	1571
²⁷⁰⁷⁴ OLIFF & BER	7590 08/14/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER AFZALI, SARANG	
P.O. BOX 199	28			
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			T. C.	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction27074@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/707,572	ROUSSEAU, GERARD H.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarang Afzali	3726	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Sarang Afzali</u> .	(3) <u>Kevin Jones</u> .		
(2) <u>David Bryant</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>03 August 2007</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Willingham et al.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render ould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE INTERVIEW OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW OF T	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, V	been filed, APPI 'DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS HIS
			•
	DAVIE	P. BRYANT	-
		PATENT EXAM	INER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Mr. Jones indicated that the art of record Willingham is not an oiling roller and as such the rejection of claims based on this art can not be made. Examiners stated that the roller structures of both Willingham and applicant are similar and requested that Mr. Jones point out any particular structural features that an oiling roller should have that are not recited in the claims that can define over the prior art. Mr. Jones agreed to do so and in particular will consider paragraphs [0031] to [0033] of the specification and will respond with an amendment. No patentability commitment was made.